

Research

Comparison of SKB's RES Matrix FEPs with SKI's PID FEPs

Mike Stenhouse

August 2002

SKI perspective

Background

As part of the license for SFR 1 a renewed safety assessment should be carried out at least every ten years for the continued operation of the SFR 1 repository. SKB has at mid-year 2001 finalised their renewed safety assessment (project SAFE) which evaluates the performance of the SFR 1 repository system.

As part of scenario development for SFR 1, SKI has in a previous work identified and assembled a list of features, events and processes (FEPs), representing the Process System (near-field and far-field), with interactions/influences between FEPs incorporated in a Process Influence Diagram (PID).

Purpose of the project

The purpose of this project is to compare SKB's RES matrix FEPs and their interactions, with SKI's PID FEPs (for the near-field and far-field/geosphere) and their interactions. The reversed order comparison is also investigated. For the biosphere, SSI's FEP-list is compared with SKB's RES matrix FEPs. Furthermore, the transparency of the links to the assessment calculations is investigated.

Results

As far as the comparison between SKI's and SKB's FEPs for the near-field and far-field is concerned, no major discrepancies are found. It is not fully transparent what considerations SKB have made to include which FEPs in the assessment calculations.

Effect on SKI's work

This project forms an important part of the SKI's review of SKB's project SAFE for SFR 1.

SKI's PID FEPs for SFR 1, especially the near-field FEPs, is a good starting-point when SKI develops near-field FEPs for the deep repository for long-lived low- and intermediate-level waste in Sweden (SFL 3-5).

Project information

Responsible at SKI has been Benny Sundström.

SKI ref.: 14.9-020220/02088

Relevant SKI report: Stenhouse M.J., Miller W.M., Chapman N.A., System Studies in PA: Development of Process Influence Diagram (PID) for SFR-1 Repository Near-Field + Far-Field, SKI report 01:30, Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate, Stockholm, Sweden, 2001.

Research

Comparison of SKB's RES Matrix FEPs with SKI's PID FEPs

Mike Stenhouse

Monitor Scientific LLC
3900 S. Wadsworth Blvd. #555
Denver CO 80235 USA

August 2002

Summary

This report provides a comparison of the features, events and processes (FEPs) identified by the Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Company, SKB, with those of the Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate (SKI) and the Swedish Radiation Protection Authority (SSI), the latter identified as the basis for SKI/SSI's independent assessment calculations relating to the SFR 1 repository. Both SKB and SKI use the systems analysis approach as the framework for carrying out performance assessment (PA) calculations, although the specific details of their assessment methodologies vary.

The review was conducted on two levels of detail:

- Top-level review, where FEP titles and Influences were compared between SKB and SKI/SSI documents, and
- A more in-depth review, where attention was paid to how a particular FEP was treated within the SKB assessment, by reference to SKB's Information Flow Network diagrams.

With regard to findings of the review, in the near-field, a few SKB FEPs were not included in SKI's assessment: "Osmosis", "Heat-generating reactions", "Heat conduction", "Water pressure (influence on stress conditions)", "Advection (advective transport of microbes)" and "Capillary suction". Of these, "Heat-generating reactions", "Heat conduction" and "Water pressure" were not considered further in the SKB assessment. Similarly, the two-phase flow aspects of saturation were ignored. Of the remaining FEPs, it is not clear to what extent osmosis and the advective transport of microbes were taken into account in SKB's assessment.

A few SKB FEP titles were not identified explicitly in SKI's FEP titles, although these may be considered as part of the description under existing SKI FEPs, *i.e.* at a different level of detail (e.g. "Ion exchange", considered under SKI's "Degradation and alteration of bentonite backfill"). Finally, the SKB FEP "Methylation/transformation" was not included in SKI's near-field FEP list, although degradation of organic waste to radioactively-contaminated methane was considered by SKI in the assessment calculations involving gas.

Of the far-field FEPs, important processes (interactions) involving seals were not identified in the SKI assessment. Only the possibility of the degradation of a shaft or tunnel seal was considered. However, SKB also did not analyse in detail processes associated with seals or plugs because the material(s) to be used had not been selected.

Some SKB FEPs were not included explicitly, owing to the level of detail provided in the SKI FEPs, similar to the near-field FEPs. Finally, Microbial degradation, Water pressure, Radon generation, and Methylation/transformation were not included in SKI's FEP list:

Comparison of FEPs within the biosphere is more complicated than within the near-field or geosphere, because only general FEP titles are given as section headings in

SSI's FEPs. Based on the review conducted here, SKB's biosphere appears to be more detailed in terms of the number of different species identified.

For completeness, SKI's FEPs were compared with SKB's FEPs to identify whether or not any SKI FEPs had been omitted by SKB. In fact, there are no major omissions.

Of the FEPs that were designated by SKB as important and, therefore, to be included in the assessment, the text indicates that certain FEPs were *not* considered in the quantitative analysis and these are discussed in this report. For example, there is no evidence of any coupling between gas and water pathways (e.g. the impact of gas pressure on water movement) although processes affecting gas generation and transport are discussed elsewhere (separate report).

The general conclusion from this part of the review is that the level of detail provided in the Information Flow Diagrams is not sufficient to identify how every FEP is treated in SKB's assessment.

Overall, the level of documentation on FEPs contained in the SKB report is detailed, providing thorough documentation of the nature of each FEP, which ones were included in the assessment, which ones were not, and why not. What is lacking, however, is a clear indication of how each FEP considered initially by SKB as being important and, therefore, included as part of the assessment, is actually treated or carried forward, *i.e.* mapped to the assessment calculations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 OBJECTIVE OF REVIEW	1
1.2 REVIEW DOCUMENTS	1
2 TOP-LEVEL REVIEW: COMPARISON OF FEPS (AND CERTAIN INFLUENCES)	3
2.1 BRIEF DISCUSSION OF METHODOLOGIES – KEY DIFFERENCES	3
2.2 RESULTS OF TOP-LEVEL REVIEW	3
2.3 SUMMARY OF TOP-LEVEL REVIEW	4
2.3.1 <i>Near-Field</i>	4
2.3.2 <i>Far-Field/Geosphere</i>	5
2.3.3 <i>Biosphere</i>	6
2.4 COMPARISON OF SKI FEPS WITH SKB FEPS	6
3 MAPPING OF SKB FEPS/INTERACTIONS TO INFORMATION FLOW DIAGRAMS	7
4 SUMMARY COMMENTS	9
REFERENCES	11
APPENDIX: TABLES SUMMARISING FEP COMPARISON AND REVIEW	A-1

1 Introduction

1.1 Objective of Review

The objective of the review work reported here is to compare the features, events and processes (FEPs) identified by the Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Company, SKB, with those of the Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate (SKI) and the Swedish Radiation Protection Authority (SSI), the latter identified as the basis for SKI/SSI's independent assessment calculations relating to the SFR 1 repository. Both SKB and SKI use the systems analysis approach as the framework for carrying out performance assessment (PA) calculations, although the specific details of their assessment methodologies vary, as discussed below. The principal components of this methodology, which are reviewed here, are:

- Primarily, identification of FEPs relating to the SFR 1 repository system (near-field, far-field and biosphere) and to a lesser extent, identification of Influences (interactions between pairs of FEPs);
- The link between FEPs/FEP interactions and safety assessment calculations.

Owing to time constraints, the latter step - how the FEPs and interactions between them are addressed in safety assessment calculations - has been evaluated in a qualitative way. Thus, this review is not a full audit. In addition, EFEPs – scenario-generating FEPs – were not reviewed as scenarios have been reviewed by SKI and SSI.

The review was conducted on two levels of detail:

- Top-level review, where FEP titles and Influences were compared between SKB and SKI/SSI documents, and
- A more in-depth review, where attention was paid to how a particular FEP was treated within the SKB assessment, by reference to SKB's Information Flow Network diagrams.

1.2 Review Documents

In order to perform the comparison, three key documents have been reviewed, *viz.*

- Project SAFE: Scenario and system analysis [SKB 2001] – principally Chapters 4, 5.
- System Studies in PA: Development of Process Influence Diagram (PID) for SFR 1 repository: near-field + far-field [Stenhouse et al., 2001].
- Work in support of biosphere assessments for solid and radioactive waste disposal [Egan et al., 2001].

Other SKB documents have been consulted for supporting information, when appropriate.

2 Top-Level Review: Comparison of FEPs (and Certain Influences)

2.1 Brief Discussion of Methodologies – Key Differences

Assessment context and system definition aside, SKB and SKI develop the system analysis methodology starting from FEPs. A key difference between SKB and SKI approaches is how the FEPs are treated.

In the SKB approach, key components of the system (typically about 10 to 12 each for the near-field, geosphere¹ and biosphere) are identified as the diagonal elements of a RES² matrix (the RES matrix is discussed in detail by Eng et al. [1994]). Interactions between these elements are then identified as off-diagonal elements in this matrix, and normally these off-diagonal elements are themselves processes.

In the SKI approach, FEPs and Influences - interactions between pairs of FEPs, are shown in a Process Influence Diagram (PID); FEPs are represented as boxes and Influences by lines connecting boxes. To accommodate the different sections (Silo, BMA, BTF, BLA) and barriers of each section of the repository, sub-sections of the complete PID, often with similar FEPs and Influences, represent these sections and barriers, with some similarity to the diagonal elements of the RES matrix.

The slightly different ways of representing FEPs and Influences means that it is not always straightforward to compare “like” with “like”. For example, the off-diagonal elements of the SKB RES matrix are usually processes which can be compared with either FEPs or Influences in the SKI methodology. This is why the comparison has not been restricted to FEPs alone, but has addressed, where necessary, certain Influences.

2.2 Results of Top-Level Review

The results of the comparison of FEPs in SKB’s RES matrix with SKI FEPs (and Influences) are summarised in the spreadsheets shown in Appendix A, Tables A-1 (near-field) and A-2 (geosphere/far-field). Similarly, comparison with SSI FEPs for the biosphere is shown in Table A-3. The contents of these tables need some supporting explanation, as provided below.

- *Column 1:* The entries in the left-hand column of the spreadsheets are generally headings taken from Chapter 4 of SKB [2001]. These headings match various FEP entries in the corresponding RES matrix (near-field and geosphere).
- *Columns 2-4* are relevant to the off-diagonal elements of the RES matrix for near-field and geosphere, and identify where in the RES matrix these FEPs can be found.

¹ To all extents and purposes, the geosphere defined by SKB is the same as the far-field as used in Stenhouse et al. [2001].

² Rock Engineering Systems.

Furthermore, Columns 2-4 give an indication of whether these FEPs have been treated by SKB in the PA, viz.

- Entries under Column 2 (“Treated”) correspond to FEPs which are specified by SKB as “important interactions”, red or pink³ colour coding, and treated in the PA (supporting text in Appendix F in SKB [2001]);
- Entries under Column 3 (“Possibly”) are designated by SKB as “probably part of the assessment”, yellow colour coding;
- Entries under Column 4 (“NOT”) are designated by SKB as having “negligible influence on other parts of the process system”, green colour coding, and were not considered in the PA.

Thus, Columns 2 and 3 are useful in the more in-depth review discussed in Section 3.

- Column 5: contains the page number reference for the FEP heading in SKB [2001].
- Column 6 (COMMENT): various brief comments are provided to indicate how a FEP was treated by SKB in the assessment, based on the text discussion in SKB [2001]. In this column, the coding for the abbreviations is:
 - *IND (K_d, D_e)*: indirectly considered via pessimistic choice of data (K_d, D_e);
 - *IND (DS)* = indirectly considered via selection of input data;
 - *IND (CC)* = indirectly considered via selection of calculational cases;
 - *NOT specific* = not specifically included in quantitative analysis, i.e. ignored.
- Column 7: the right-hand column of Tables A-1, A-2 and A-3 indicates which SKI FEPs (or Influences) correspond to the SKB FEP, and includes the diagram reference level in the SKI report [Stenhouse et al., 2001]. SKI FEPs and Influences are provided in a series of drawings as output from SPARTA, the software tool used to develop the PID [Jack and Hillier, 1999]. The layout of the individual drawings is given on pages Annex II-2 and III-3 of Stenhouse et al. [2001]. Here, in Tables A-1 and A-2, the coding L2, L3 etc. refers to the hierarchical level of the drawing in which a FEP or Influence can be found – Level 2, Level 3 etc.

2.3 Summary of Top-Level Review

2.3.1 Near-Field

In the near-field, a few SKB FEPs were not included in SKI’s assessment, viz.

- Osmosis
- Heat-generating reactions
- Heat conduction
- Water pressure (influence on stress conditions)
- Advection (advective transport of microbes)

³ A pink colour was used by SKB to describe important interactions “only in the water saturation phase”.

- Capillary suction.

Of these, “Heat-generating reactions”, “Heat conduction” and “Water pressure” were not considered further in the SKB assessment. Similarly, the two-phase flow aspects of saturation were ignored. Of the remaining FEPs, it is not clear from the text in SKB [2001] to what extent osmosis and the advective transport of microbes were taken into account in SKB’s assessment.

A few SKB FEP titles were not identified explicitly in SKI’s FEP titles, although these may be considered as part of the description under existing SKI FEPs, *i.e.* at a different level of detail, *viz.*

- Ion exchange (considered under SKI’s “Degradation and alteration of bentonite backfill”)
- Dispersion of clay particles (bentonite barriers) (considered under “Degradation and alteration of bentonite backfill”).

Finally, the SKB FEP “Methylation/transformation” was not included in SKI’s near-field FEP list, although degradation of organic waste to radioactively-contaminated methane was considered by SKI in the assessment calculations involving gas.

2.3.2 Far-Field/Geosphere

Of the far-field FEPs, important processes (interactions) involving seals were not identified in the SKI assessment. Only the possibility of the degradation of a shaft or tunnel seal was considered. However, SKB also did not analyse in detail processes associated with seals or plugs because the material(s) to be used had not been selected.

Some SKB FEPs were not included explicitly, owing to the level of detail provided in the SKI FEPs, *viz.*

- “Ionic strength effects” (Access tunnels and boreholes) (= Influences on “Water chemistry”).
- “Redistribution of particles in flowing water” (= “Degradation and alteration of backfill”).

Finally, the following SKB FEPs were not included in SKI’s FEP list:

- Microbial degradation.
- Water pressure.
- Radon generation.
- Methylation/transformation.

2.3.3 Biosphere

Comparison of FEPs within the Biosphere is more complicated than within the near-field or geosphere, because only general FEP titles are given as section headings in Egan *et al.* [2001]. The discussion under each heading normally provides a good indication of the detail considered under each of these FEP titles. For example, an off-diagonal element in SKB's RES matrix such as "Change in water content (Quaternary deposits)" can be matched with the text "soil water content" under the heading **Soils and sediments** in Egan *et al.* [2001]. Inevitably, because of the different approaches to FEP identification, many of the entries in the SSI column in Table A-3 contain "NOT included explicitly" although it is likely that the FEP was addressed in some way in the biosphere assessment.

Certainly, based on a review of Egan *et al.* [2001], individual components of the ecosystem do not appear to have been identified explicitly in the SSI study, although involvement of different species in the transfer of radioactive contaminants through the food chain is considered in SSI's biosphere assessment. The general conclusion is that a more detailed review of how SKB FEPs are treated would be necessary in order to establish the level of agreement between SSI and SKB. Based on the review conducted here, SKB's biosphere appears to be more detailed in terms of the number of different species identified.

2.4 Comparison of SKI FEPs with SKB FEPs

For completeness, the SKI FEPs listed in Appendices C (Near-Field) and E (Far-Field) in Stenhouse *et al.* [2001] were compared with SKB's FEPs to identify whether or not any SKI FEPs had been omitted by SKB. This comparison is shown in Table A-4. In fact, there are no major omissions. "Gas generation" does not appear in SKB's RES Matrix for the geosphere (apart from "Radon generation"), but this is in line with SKI's revised FEP list in which this FEP title was renamed "Bulk gas".

3 Mapping of SKB FEPs/Interactions to Information Flow Diagrams

The final stage in the review process involved examination of the FEPs identified by SKB as important and part of the PA calculations, to see if these FEPs had been treated in the assessment. In this case, the examination was confined to the near-field and geosphere. The more detailed examination involved an attempt to map these important FEPs to the corresponding Information Flow Diagrams developed by SKB, *i.e.* Figures 5-2 and 5-3 in SKB [2001]. These diagrams are intended to show the information exchange between different analyses; repository performance (Figure 5-2) and geosphere performance (Figure 5-3).

Tables A-1 and A-2 were checked to see if each process or interaction identified as being included in the PA (Column 2 /“Treated” and Column 3/”Possibly” in Tables A-1 and A-2) had in fact been treated, according to the information provided in Figures 5-2 and 5-3. It should be noted that this check should not be regarded as a full audit. However, it was felt that the review should at least identify any major omissions.

The results of the review are shown in Tables A-5 (near-field) and A-6 (geosphere). The three left-hand columns of Tables A-1 and A-2 have been retained for reference purposes. An entry in the fourth column (Figure 5-2 or 5-3) notes whether the FEP has been considered in the corresponding Information Flow Diagram and the right-hand column contains additional input from SKB [2001] that has some bearing on the treatment of FEPs in the subsequent assessment calculations.

Of the FEPs that were designated by SKB as important and, therefore, to be included in the assessment, the text indicates that in certain cases the FEPs were *not* considered in the quantitative analysis.

- Examples for the near-field are:
 - the impact of “Rock fallout/redistribution” on the concrete backfill and concrete structures;
 - the impact of “Diffusion” on water composition;
 - the impacts of “Colloids” (colloid transport judged to be negligible for Silo, BMA and BTF vaults due to the filtering effect of the barriers); and
 - the impact of “Osmosis” on hydrology.

- Examples for the geosphere are:
 - the impact of “Dissolution/precipitation on the function of shotcrete and backfill in tunnels and boreholes;
 - the impact of “Redistribution of stress” on the rock matrix and fractures;
 - the impact of “Gas flow and saturation” on hydrology;
 - any impacts of “Gas pressure”;
 - the impact of “Water pressure” on gas distribution and movement;
 - the impact of “Dissolution/precipitation” on radionuclide distribution (precipitation of radionuclides and toxicants is not expected due to low concentrations).

Generally, as discussed in SKB [2001], potential impacts of “Microbial activity/growth” throughout the near-field and geosphere were ignored in the quantitative assessment, although values selected for corrosion and degradation rates were intended to reflect the influence of microbially-catalysed chemical reactions.

Finally, there is no evidence in either Figures 5-1, 5-2 or 5-3 of any coupling between gas and water pathways (e.g. the impact of gas pressure on water movement) although processes affecting gas generation and transport are discussed in a separate report (Moreno *et al.* [2001]).

Clearly from the findings presented in Tables A-5 and A-6, however, the level of detail provided in the Information Flow Diagrams is not sufficient to identify how every FEP is treated in SKB’s assessment.

4 Summary Comments

SKB [2001] provided the main source of information in the review of FEPs. This report was not reviewed in detail⁴ – only those sections discussing FEPs or elements within SKB’s interaction matrices for near-field, geosphere and biosphere. As such, the level of documentation is considered to be relatively detailed, providing thorough documentation of the nature of each FEP, which ones were included in the assessment, which ones were not, and why not.

What is lacking in SKB [2001], however, is a clear indication of how each FEP considered initially by SKB as being important and, therefore, included as part of the assessment, is actually treated or carried forward, *i.e.* mapped to the assessment calculations. This report (SKB [2001]) appears to be the ideal medium for reporting this information.

⁴ The report has been reviewed elsewhere.

References

Egan, M, Maul, P.R., Watkins, B.M. and Venter, A. (2001). Work in support of biosphere assessments for solid radioactive waste disposal: 2: Biosphere FEP list and biosphere modelling. *SSI Report 2001:22*. Swedish Radiation Protection Institute, Stockholm, Sweden.

Eng, T., Hudson, J., Stephansson, O., Skagius K. and Wiborgh, M. (1994). Scenario development methodologies. *SKB Technical Report TR 94-28*. Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, Sweden.

Jack, J.P. and Hillier, J.E. (1999). Sparta User Guide, Sparta Version 2, QuantiSci Report SKI-6145A-1, Version 1.1.

Moreno, L, Skagius, K., Södergren, S. and Wiborgh, M. (2001). Project SAFE – Gas related processes in SFR. *SKB Report R-01-11*. Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, Sweden.

SKB (2001). Project SAFE – Scenario and systems analysis. *SKB Report R-01-13*. Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co., Stockholm, Sweden.

Stenhouse M.J., Chapman, N.A. and Miller, W.M. (2001). System Studies in PA: Development of Process Influence Diagram (PID) for SFR- Repository: Near-Field + Far-Field. *SKI Report 01:30*. Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate, Stockholm, Sweden.

Appendix: Tables Summarising FEP Comparison and Review

Table A-1: Comparison of SKB FEPs with SKI FEPs (and Influences): Near-Field.

Table A-2: Comparison of SKB FEPs with SKI FEPs (and Influences): Geosphere.

Table A-3: Comparison of SKB FEPs with SKI FEPs (and Influences): Biosphere.

Table A-4: Comparison of SKI FEPs with SKB FEPs.

Table A-5: Review of SKB RES Matrix FEPs with SKB's Information Flow Diagram: Near-field (Figure 5-2, SKB Report R-01-13⁵).

Table A-6: Review of SKB RES Matrix FEPs with SKB's Information Flow Diagram: Geosphere (Figure 5-3, SKB Report R-01-13).

⁵ SKB Report R-01-13, Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co, Stockholm, 2001.

Table A-1: Comparison of SKB FEPs with SKI FEPs (and Influences): Near-Field

NEAR-FIELD FEPs SKB FEP Name	<===== RES MATRIX =====>	SKB Report R-01-13 on Page	COMMENT	EQUIVALENT OR CORRESPONDING SKI FEP TITLES
DIAGONAL ELEMENTS				
Waste/cement matrix	1.1	41, 42		Waste package [L2], Properties of waste package [L3]
Waste/bitumen matrix	2.2	41, 42		Waste package [L2], Properties of waste package [L3]
Waste/non-solidified	3.3	41, 42		Waste package [L2], Properties of waste package [L3]
Concrete packaging	4.4	41		Waste package [L2], Properties of waste package [L3]
Steel packaging	5.5	41		Waste package [L2], Properties of waste package [L3]
Concrete backfill	6.6	41		Porous concrete(grout) [L2], Properties of porous concrete FEPs [L3]
Concrete structure	7.7	41		Reinforced concrete shell [L2], Properties of reinforced concrete shell [L3]
Bentonite barriers	8.8	41		Bentonite backfill [L3], Properties of bentonite backfill [L4], Sand-bentonite backfill [L3], Properties of sand-bentonite backfill [L4]
Vaults and backfill	9.9	41		Silo section [L2], BMA Section [L2], BTF Section [L2], BMA Section [L2], Sand backfill [L3], Properties of sand backfill [L4]
Water composition	10.10	41		Groundwater composition [L4], Porewater composition [L4], Water chemistry [L4], Colloid generation [L4]
Hydrology	11.11	41		Groundwater flow [L3], Water movement in and through waste package [L3]
Gas	12.12	41		Gas FEPs [L3], Gas generation [L4], Gas pressure and flow [L3, L4]
Temperature	13.13	41		Temperature and heat transfer [L3]
Stress conditions	14.14	41		Mechanical properties (including stress distribution) [L4]
Biological state	15.15	41		Microbial activity [L4]
Radionuclide and toxicants	16.16	41		Transport and release of radionuclides [L3], Composition of waste, waste matrix and container [L4]
OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS	Treated	NOT		
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR DIFFERENT WASTE FORMS (CEMENT MATRIX, BITUMEN MATRIX, NON-SOLIDIFIED); 1.1, 2.2, 3.3				
Recrystallisation/mineralisation	1.1	42		IND. (Kd, Degradation of waste package [L4] (+ L5 FEPs); Influences on Properties of waste package [L3]
Expansion/contraction of waste	1.14, 2.14	42/43		Properties of waste package [L3], Degradation of waste package [L4] (+ L5 FEPs), Influence of Microbial activity on Degradation of waste package [L4]
Water uptake (water flow, capillary suction)	1.4, 1.5, 2.5 3.4, 3.5, 3.14 4.1, 5.1, 5.2	43		Saturation of waste package [L4]
Chemical and microbial degradation	10.1, 10.2, 11.1 11.2	43		Degradation of waste package [L4] (+ L5 FEPs), porous concrete [L4], concrete shell [L4]; Influences on Properties of waste package [L3], porous concrete [L3], concrete shell [L3]
Corrosion of metals	10.1, 10.2 11.1, 11.2 1.12, 3.12	44		Degradation of waste package on Gas generation [L4] Corrosion of metallic waste [L5], Corrosion and degradation of waste container [L5]
Dissolution/precipitation	10.1, 11.1 1.10, 2.10, 3.10 10.1, 10.2	44		Precipitation & dissolution [L4]
Cracking	14.1, 14.2	44/45		Degradation of waste package [L4] (+ L5 FEPs); Influence on Mechanical stress distribution [L4]
Microbial activity/growth	1.15, 2.15, 3.15	45		Influences on Properties of waste package [L3], porous concrete [L3], concrete shell [L3] Microbial activity, waste package [L4]
Irradiation	15.1, 15.2, 15.3 16.1, 16.2, 16.3	45		Microbial activity, waste package [L4] Radiolysis in waste package - Silo only [L4]

Table A-1 (continued): Comparison of SKB FEPs with SKI FEPs (and Influences): Near-Field

NEAR-FIELD FEPs (continued) SKB FEP Name	<==== RES MATRIX =====>			SKB Report R-01-13 on Page	COMMENT	SKI FEP Title
OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS	Treated	Possibly	NOT			
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR CONCRETE AND STEEL PACKAGING; 4.4, 5.5						
Recrystallisation	4.4			46	IND. (Kd, D)	Degradation and alteration of waste container [L5]; Influence on Properties of waste package [L3]
Expansion/contraction of packaging	4.14, 5.14	4.9, 5.9	4.6,5,6,6.4 6.5,9,4,9,5			Properties of waste package [L3], Degradation of waste package [L4] (+ L5 FEPS),
Water uptake (Water flow, capillary suction)	5.11			---		Saturation of waste package [L4]
Corrosion	4.12,5.12	14.5	11.4, 11.5	46	Rebar	Corrosion and degradation of waste container [L5]; Influence on Properties of waste package [L3]
Cracking/deformation	14.4			46	IND.	Corrosion and degradation of waste container [L5]; Influence on Mechanical properties of waste package [L4]
Microbial growth		4.15,15.4,15.5	5.15	47	Ignored	Microbial activity, waste package [L4]
Irradiation			16.4, 16.5	---		Influence of Radioactive decay in waste on Degradation of waste form [L5]
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR CONCRETE BACKFILL AND CONCRETE STRUCTURES; 6.6, 7.7						
Recrystallisation/mineralisation	6.6, 7.7			47	IND. (Kd, D)	Degradation and alteration of porous concrete [L4], reinforced concrete structure [L4]; Influences on Properties of porous concrete [L3], reinforced concrete shell [L3]
Expansion/contraction	6.14, 6.15		6.5, 7.5			Degradation of shell, concrete base [L4]
Water uptake (Water flow, capillary suction)	7.11			47	IND. (K)	Degradation and alteration of porous concrete [L4], reinforced concrete structure [L4]; Influences on Hydrogeological properties of porous concrete [L4], reinforced concrete shell [L4]
Corrosion				48	DS, CC	Precipitation & dissolution, porous concrete [L4], reinforced concrete shell [L4]
Dissolution/precipitation	6.10, 7.10		11.6, 11.7	48		Degradation and alteration of porous concrete [L4], reinforced concrete structure [L4]; Influences on Hydrogeological properties of porous concrete [L4], reinforced concrete shell [L4]
Cracking	14.6, 14.7			48	NOT specified	Mechanical properties (including stress distribution) of reinforced concrete shell [L4]; Influences on Properties of porous concrete [L4], reinforced concrete shell [L4]; Microbial activity, porous concrete [L4], reinforced concrete shell [L4]
Rock fallout/redistribution	14.7			48	Ignored	
Microbial growth		6.15, 7.15 15.6, 15.7				
OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS	Treated	Possibly	NOT			
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR BENTONITE BARRIERS; 8.8						
Bentonite expansion and contraction	9.8	7.8, 8.9	13.8	48/49	IND. (CC)	Applicable to bentonite backfill and bentonite-sand backfill Swelling of bentonite in backfill [L5]; Influences on Degradation and alteration of backfill [L5], and Properties of near-field rock [L4]; NOT on Degradation and alteration of reinforced concrete shell [L4]
Expansion/contraction	8.14			49	Simplified	Selling of bentonite [L4]
Water uptake (Water flow, capillary suction)	8.11			49/50	IND. (K)	Resaturation of backfill [L5]; Influences on Properties of backfill [L4]
Montmorillonite transformation	10.8			50	IND. (K)	Degradation and alteration of backfill [L5]; Influences on Properties of backfill [L4]
Dissolution/precipitation	8.10, 10.8			50	IND. (DS, CC)	Precipitation & dissolution of backfill [L5]; Influence on Properties of backfill [L4]
Ion exchange	8.10, 10.8			50	IND. (DS, CC)	NOT explicitly; Degradation and alteration of backfill [L5], Influences on Mineralogy [L5] and on Properties of backfill [L4]
Dispersion of clay particles	18.8			50/51	CC	NOT included explicitly; Degradation and alteration of backfill [L5], Influence on Properties of backfill [L4]
Microbial growth	8.15	15.8		51	Ignored	Microbial activity, backfill [L5]
Colloid filtering	8.16					Colloid filtration [L5]

Table A-1 (continued): Comparison of SKB FEPs with SKI FEPs (and Influences): Near-Field

NEAR-FIELD FEPs (continued) SKB FEP Name	<===== RES MATRIX =====>	SKB Report R-01-13 on Page	COMMENT	SKI FEP Title
OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS	Treated	Possibly	NOT	
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR VAULTS AND BACKFILL (INCLUDING SAND LAYER ABOVE CONCRETE LID, SILO, AND GAS VENTS); 9.9	Expansion/contraction Redistribution of backfill	14.8	9.14, 13.9	Structural geometry properties, sand backfill [L5], reinforced concrete shell [L4], concrete base [L4] Degradation and alteration of crushed rock [L4];
Bentonite intrusion		8.9	51	Influence on Advective & dispersive transport of radionuclides in groundwater [L4]
Dissolution/precipitation	9.10, 10.9		51/52	NOT considered with regard to sand layer
Microbial growth		9.15, 15.9	52	Precipitation & dissolution [L5]; Influences on Properties of crushed rock (mineralogy, hydrogeological properties)
			52	Microbial activity, crushed rock [L4]; Influence on Colloid generation and transport [L4]
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR WATER COMPOSITION (INCLUDING COLLOIDS/PARTICLES AND DISSOLVED GAS); 10.10				
Dissolution/precipitation	10.16, 10.1, 10.10, 10.4, 10.5, 10.6, 10.7, 10.8, 10.9	10.3	52/53	IND. (DS, CS) Precipitation & dissolution, various compartments [L4, L5]
Degradation of organics	10.12, 10.16		53	Degradation of organic waste [L5]; Influences to Water chemistry [L4], Colloid generation [L4]
Corrosion	10.12		54	Corrosion of metallic waste [L5], Corrosion and degradation of waste container [L5]; Influences on Redox heterogeneity [L4], Water chemistry [L4]
Sorption	10.16		54	IND. (DS, CS) Radionuclide sorption [L4]; Influence on Water chemistry [L4]; I
Diffusion	10.16		54	Influence of Degradation of waste package [L4] on Radionuclide sorption
Advection and mixing	10.11		54	Diffusion of radionuclides [L4]; Influence on Water chemistry [L4]
Erosion/colloid formation/colloid transport	10, 10.10		54	NOT included explicitly; Influence of Groundwater flow [L4] on Water chemistry [L4]
Microbial activity	10.15, 15.10		55	IND. (DS, CS) NOT considered explicitly for bentonite erosion; Colloid generation, bentonite backfill [L4]; Influence on Colloid transport [L4]; Influences on Filtration [L5]
Gas dissolution/degassing	10.12		55	Influence on Colloid transport [L4]; Influences on Filtration [L5]
			56	Microbial activity [L4]
			56	IND. (DS, CS) NOT included explicitly; incorporated in Gas pressure and flow [L4]
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR HYDROLOGY (MAGNITUDE, DIRECTION AND DISTRIBUTION OF WATER FLOW); 11.11				
Two phase flow and saturation	11.12		56	NOT included explicitly; Gas pressure and flow [L4], Gas-mediated radionuclide transport [L4], and Advective & dispersive transport of radionuclides in groundwater [L4]
Osmosis	10.11		57	NOT considered
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR GAS FORMATION AND MOVEMENT; 12.12				
Gas generation through degradation of organic material	1.12, 3.12	2.12	57	Influence of Degradation of organic waste [L5] on Gas generation, waste package [L4]
Gas generation through metal corrosion	1.12, 3.12, 4.12, 5.12		57/59	Influences of Corrosion of metallic waste [L5], Corrosion and degradation of waste container [L5] on Gas generation, waste package [L4]
Gas generation through radiolysis	1.12, 3.12, 4.12	16.12	58	Influence of Radiolysis in waste package (Silo) [L4] on Gas generation, waste package [L4]; neglected
Gas flow	5.12, 6.12, 7.12		58/59	Gas pressure and flow, various compartments [L4]
Expansion/contraction	8.12, 9.12		59	Influence of Gas pressure and flow [L4] on Water movement, waste package [L3], Groundwater flow [L3]

Table A-1 (continued): Comparison of SKB FEPs with SKI FEPs (and Influences): Near-Field

NEAR-FIELD FEPs (continued) SKB FEP Name	<==== RES MATRIX =====>		SKB Report R-01-13 on Page	COMMENT	SKI FEP Title
OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS	Treated	Possibly			
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR TEMPERATURE; 14.14					
Heat-generating reactions		16.13	59	AI only	NOT considered
Heat conduction		Column 13	59		NOT considered
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR MECHANICS - STRESS CONDITIONS; 14.14					
Expansion/contraction	Column 14		60	DS, CC	Influence of Degradation of Waste package on Mechanical properties of waste package [L4]
Water pressure		14.11	60		NOT considered
Gas pressure	12.14		61		Influence of Gas pressure on Mechanical properties of waste package [L4]
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR BIOLOGICAL STATE; 15.15					
Microbial activity	Column 15		61		Microbial activity, various compartments [L4]
Advection	11.15		61/62		NOT considered
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR RADIONUCLIDES AND TOXICANTS; 16.16					
Dissolution/precipitation	1.16, 2.16		62		Precipitation & dissolution, various compartments [L4] [L5]
Degradation of organic matter	3.16, 10.16		62		Influence of Degradation of waste package on Mater chemistry of waste package [L4]
Advection	1.16, 2.16		62		Influence of Groundwater-mediated transport of radionuclides on Distribution&release
Dispersion	3.16, 10.16		62		Influence of Groundwater-mediated transport of radionuclides on Distribution&release
Diffusion	11.16		63	DS, CC	Influence of Diffusion on Distribution and release of radionuclides [L4]
Sorption	11.16		63		Influence of Sorption on Distribution and release of radionuclides [L4]
Colloid transport and filtering	9.16		64		Influence of Colloid transport on Distribution and release of radionuclides [L4]
Advection of radioactive gas	12.16		64		Influence of Gas-mediated radionuclide transport on Distribution and release of radionuclides [L4]
Methylation/transformation	15.16		64		NOT considered explicitly but conservative assumptions about radioactive methane generation
Radioactive decay	16.16		65		Influence of radioactive decay and ingrowth on Distribution and release of radionuclides [L4]

Table A-2 (continued): Comparison of SKB FEPs with SKI FEPs (and Influences): Geosphere

GEOSPHERE FEPs SKB FEP Name	<===== RES MATRIX =====>	SKB Report R-01-13 on Page	COMMENT	SKI FEP Title
OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS				
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR REPOSITORY AND ROCK MATRIX AND FRACTURES; 7.7, 8.8, 9.9	Treated Possibly NOT			
Dissolution/precipitation	7.10, 8.10, 9.10 10.7, 10.8, 10.9 7.14, 8.14	11.7, 11.8, 11.9		Precipitation & dissolution, far field [L3]; Influences on Mineralogy [L3], Hydrogeological properties of rock [L3] Mechanical properties of rock (+ stress distribution) Microbial activity, rock [L2]; Influence on Groundwater composition [L2]
Redistribution of stress	15.7, 15.8, 15.9 14.7, 14.8, 14.9	7.15	Ignored Discarded	
Microbial growth				
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR WATER COMPOSITION; 10.10				
Dissolution/precipitation	[5 to 9].10		IND. (DS, CC)	Precipitation & dissolution, far field [L3]; Influences on Mineralogy [L3], Hydrogeological properties of rock [L3] Matrix diffusion of radionuclides [L2]; Influence from Hydrogeological properties of rock [L2]
Diffusion	10.16 [5 to 9].10	71	No quantitative	
Advection/dispersion	11.1	71		Advective & dispersive transport of radionuclides in groundwater [L2]; Influence on Groundwater composition [L2]
Colloid formation and transport	10.10, 10.16	71	Conservative Ass	Colloid generation [L2], Colloid transport [L2]
Gas dissolution/degassing	10.12, 12.10	71	IND.	NOT included explicitly; Gas flow and pressure [L1]
Microbial degradation	10.15, 15.10	72	Ignored	NOT included explicitly; Influence of Microbial activity [L2] on Groundwater composition mainly Eh considered
Boundary conditions		72		Changing composition of groundwaters [L2]; Influence on Groundwater chemistry [L2]
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR HYDROLOGY; 11.11				
Rock permeability and its distribution	Not explicit	72	SC - neglected	Hydrogeological properties of rock [L2]
Driving forces and salinity	11.10	72		NOT included explicitly; Salt (or fresh) water intrusion included in External FEPs
Gas flow and saturation	11.12	72/73	Conservative as	Gas flow and pressure [L1], Gas-mediated radionuclide transport [L2], Advective and dispersive trans- port of radionuclides in groundwater [L2]; Influence of Gas flow and pressure on Groundwater flow [L1]
Boundary conditions		73		NOT included explicitly; presumably similar boundary condition used for modelling
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR GAS (DISTRIBUTION AND MOVEMENT), INCLUDING NATURALLY OCCURRING RADIONUCLIDES; 12.12				
Gas permeability	8.12, 9.12	73		Influence of Hydrogeological properties of rock [L2] on Gas flow and pressure [L1]
Gas pressure		73		Gas flow and pressure [L1]
Water pressure	12.11	73	Neglected	NOT included explicitly; Influence of Groundwater flow on Gas flow and pressure [L1]
Radon generation	8.12, 9.12	74		Gas flow and pressure, various repository components [L3, L4]
Boundary conditions				
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR ROCK MECHANICS; 14.14				
Properties affecting deformation and stability	7.14, 8.14	74		Influence of Mineralogy on Mechanical properties of rock (+ stress distribution) [L2]
Degradation of rock reinforcement		74		Cave-in [L3]; Influence of Cave-in on Rock properties [L3]
Boundary conditions		74		NOT included explicitly; presumably similar boundary condition used for modelling

Table A-2 (continued): Comparison of SKB FEPs with SKI FEPs (and Influences): Geosphere

GEOSPHERE FEPs SKB FEP Name	<===== RES MATRIX =====>		SKB Report R-01-13 on Page	COMMENT	SKI FEP Title
OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR BIOLOGICAL STATE, 15.15 Microbial activity Boundary conditions	Treated Column 15	Possibly 15.15	NOT 75 75	Methylation	Microbial activity [L2]; Influence on Groundwater composition [L2] NOT included explicitly; Microbial activity and Colloid transport in different sections of repository and far field
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR RADIONUCLIDES AND TOXICANTS (SOLID, LIQUID AND GAS PHASES); 16.16 Advection/dispersion	11.16	16.16	75	75	Advective & dispersive transport of radionuclides in groundwater [L2]; Influence from Groundwater Flow [L1]
Diffusion and matrix diffusion	7.16, 8.16, 9.16	12.16	75	76	Matrix diffusion of radionuclides [L2]; NOT Diffusion
Sorption	5.16, 7.16, 8.16, 9.16	6.16	76	76	Radionuclide sorption [L2]
Dissolution/precipitation	10.16	10.16	76	76	Precipitation & dissolution [L2]
Transport with gas	12.16	10.16	76	76	Gas-mediated radionuclide transport [L2]
Transport with colloids or microbes	10.16	15.16	77	CC	Colloid transport [L2]
Methylation/transformation	15.16	16.16	77	CC	NOT included in Far field; Influence of Degradation of organic waste [L5] on Gas generation, waste package [L4]
Radioactive decay Boundary conditions	16.16		77	77	Radioactive decay and ingrowth [L2] Influences from Transport and release of radionuclides from different components of repository

Table A-3: Comparison of SKB FEPs with SKI FEPs (and Influences): Biosphere

BIOSPHERE FEPs SKB Report FEP Name	<==== RES MATRIX =====>	SKB Report R-01-13 on Page	SSI Report FEP Title
DIAGONAL ELEMENTS			
Geosphere (Boundary condition)	1.1	79	Geosphere
Quaternary deposits	2.2	79	NOT included explicitly; Soils and sediments
Primary producers (of organic matter)	3.3	79	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities (see text)
Decomposers	4.4	79	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities (see text)
Filter feeders	5.5	79	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities (see text)
Herbivores	6.6	79	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities (see text)
Carnivores	7.7	79	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities (see text)
Humans	8.8	79	Human community characteristics
NONE (foeemrly Topography)	9.9	79	
Water in quaternary deposits	10.10	79	NOT explicitly included; Near-surface hydrogeology
Surface water	11.11	79	Surface Waters (fresh and marine)
Water composition	12.12	79	NOT included explicitly
Gas/Atmosphere	13.13	79	Atmosphere
Temperature	14.14	79	NOT included explicitly; Climate characteristics
Radionuclide and toxicants	15.15	79	Radionuclide contaminant factors ("other toxic species" included)
External conditions	16.16	79	NOT included explicitly; presumably included in modelling
OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS	Treated Possibly NOT		
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR QUATERNARY DEPOSITS (2.2)			
Relocation	2.2	80	Not mentioned explicitly; Topography and morphology; Natural cycling and distribution
Bioturbation	4.2	80	Solid-phase transport phenomena
Change in water content	10.2	80	Transport mediated by flora and fauna (redistribution and mixing of soils....)
Erosion	10.2, 11.2	80	NOT mentioned explicitly; Soils and sediments "(soil water content)"
Sedimentation	12.2	80	Environmental processes; Solid-phase transport phenomena
External boundary - land rise	16.2	81	Environmental processes; Solid-phase transport phenomena
			NOT mentioned explicitly; Topography and morphology ("time-dependent description...")
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR PRIMARY PRODUCERS OF ORGANIC MATTER (plants, algae, tress etc.) (3.3)			
Settlement, deposition	2.3, 10.3 to 12.3	81	ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES NOT TREATED SEPARATELY Solid-phase transport phenomena
Feeding	8.3	81	Transport mediated by flora and fauna ("consumption", "metabolism")
Stimulation/inhibition	3.4, 3.6, 3.8, 5.3, 8.3	82	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities ("population dynamics"); presumably assumptions made in modelling re. specific populations
Water uptake	10.3, 11.3	82	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities ("population dynamics"); presumably assumptions made in modelling re. specific populations
Light attenuation	12.3	82	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities ("population dynamics"); presumably assumptions made in modelling re. specific populations
Insolation	16.3	82	Climate characteristics ("solar radiation"); not considered directly applicable
Exposure	15.3	82	Exposure modes (but emphasis on humans)
Import and export	16.3	82	NOT included explicitly; closest of relevance is Changes to natural phenomena associated with human actions ("modification of plant and animal communities....")

Table A-3 (continued): Comparison of SKB FEPs with SKI FEPs (and Influences): Biosphere

BIOSPHERE FEPs SKB Report FEP Name	<==== RES MATRIX =====>	SKB Report R-01-13 on Page	SSI Report FEP Title
OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS			
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR DECOMPOSERS OF ORGANIC MATTER (bacteria, worms, snails, fungi etc.) (4.4)			
Settlement	2.4, 10.4, 12.4, 14.4	11.4, 13.4	ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES NOT TREATED SEPARATELY Solid-phase transport phenomena
Biocurbation	4.2		Transport mediated by flora and fauna (redistribution and mixing of soils....)
Consumption	2.4		Transport mediated by flora and fauna ("consumption", "metabolism")
Food supply	5.4, 6.4	7.4	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities ("population dynamics")
Feeding	5.4, 6.4	7.4	Transport mediated by flora and fauna ("consumption", "metabolism")
Stimulation/inhibition	4.7	7.4	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities ("population dynamics"); presumably assumptions made in modelling concerning specific populations
Water uptake	5.4, 6.4, 12.4	4.8, 8.4, 13	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities ("population dynamics"); presumably assumptions made in modelling concerning specific populations
Exposure	10.4	11.4	Exposure modes (but emphasis on humans)
Exposure	15.4		
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR FILTER FEEDERS (mussels, hydroids, sponges, insect larvae etc - filter water) (5.5)			
Settlement	2.5, 11.5, 12.5, 14.5		ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES NOT TREATED SEPARATELY Solid-phase transport phenomena
Biocurbation		5.2	Transport mediated by flora and fauna (redistribution and mixing of soils....)
Stimulation/inhibition	5.3, 5.4, 5.7, 5.8, 12.5, 14.5	3.5, 4.5, 5.6, 6.5, 7.5, 8.5	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities ("population dynamics"); presumably assumptions made in modelling concerning specific populations
Exposure	15.5		Exposure modes (but emphasis on humans)
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR HERBIVORES (snails, insects, cows and sheep) (6.6)			
Settlement	2.6, 11.6, 12.6, 14.6	10.6, 13.6	ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES NOT TREATED SEPARATELY Solid-phase transport phenomena
Biocurbation		6.2	Transport mediated by flora and fauna (redistribution and mixing of soils....)
Consumption	2.6		Transport mediated by flora and fauna ("consumption", "metabolism")
Food supply, feeding	3.6, 6.7, 6.8 6.4, 8.6	4.6, 5.6, 6.3, 6.5, 7.6	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities ("population dynamics")
Stimulation/inhibition	3.6, 6.7, 6.8 8.6, 12.6, 14.6	4.6, 5.6, 6.6, 7.6, 13.6	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities ("population dynamics"); presumably assumptions made in modelling concerning specific populations
Water uptake	6.4	6.3, 6.5	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities ("population dynamics"); presumably assumptions made in modelling concerning specific populations?
Water uptake	11.6	10.6	Exposure modes (but emphasis on humans)
Exposure	15.6		

Table A-3 (continued): Comparison of SKB FEPs with SKI FEPs (and Influences): Biosphere

BIOSPHERE FEPs SKB Report FEP Name	<==== RES MATRIX =====>	SKB Report R-01-13 on Page	SSI Report FEP Title
OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS			
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR CARNIVORES (e.g. fish, eagle, seal, fox, bird)	Treated Possibly NOT	(7.7)	ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES NOT TREATED SEPARATELY
Settlement	2.7, 11.7, 12.7, 14.7	86	Solid-phase transport phenomena
Bioturbation	7.2		Transport mediated by flora and fauna (redistribution and mixing of soils....)
Food supply and feeding	4.7, 5.7, 6.7 7.4	86	Transport mediated by flora and fauna ("consumption", "metabolism")
Stimulation/inhibition	7.7, 7.8 4.7, 5.7, 6.7 7.8, 12.7, 14.7	86	NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities ("population dynamics"); Presumably assumptions made in modelling concerning specific populations
Water uptake	11.7		NOT included explicitly; Ecological communities ("population dynamics"); Presumably assumptions made in modelling concerning specific populations
Exposure	15.7	86	Exposure modes (but emphasis on humans)
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR HUMANS (8.8)			
Settlement - living and building	2.8,10.8, 11.8 12.8, 14.8	87	NOT mentioned explicitly; Human characteristics;
Food supply and feeding	3.8, 5.8, 6.8 7.8, 8.3, 8.6	87	presumably assumptions made concerning critical group Foodchain transfer
Materials supply	2.8, 3.8, 5.8	87	Exposure modes ("external irradiation.... building materials...")
Water use	10.8, 11.8	87	Exposure modes; Drinking water and foodstuffs
Stimulation/inhibition	3.8, 5.8, 6.8 7.8, 8.3, 8.6	87	NOT mentioned explicitly; Human characteristics
Exposure	15.8	88	Exposure modes
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR WATER IN QUATERNARY DEPOSITS (10.10)			
Water transport	2.10, 12.10, 13.1	88	Water-borne transport phenomena
Water uptake	10.3, 10.4	---	Water-borne transport phenomena
Recharge/discharge	10.1, 11.10, 10.11	88	Water-borne transport phenomena
Evaporation/condensation	13.10	88	Atmospheric transport phenomena ("evaporation"); NOT condensation
Water extraction, use	10.8	88	Changes to natural phenomena associated with human actions
External boundary conditions - import	16.10	88	Water-borne transport phenomena
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR SURFACE WATER (11.11)			
Discharge/recharge	10.11, 11.10	89	Water-borne transport phenomena
Water transport and convection	2.11, 12.11, 13.11	89	Water-borne transport phenomena
Water uptake	11.3,11.5,11.6 11.7	---	Water-borne transport phenomena
Water extraction, use	11.8	---	Changes to natural phenomena associated with human actions
Wind stress and wave formation	13.11	89	NOT included;
Movement - human induced	8.11	89	Changes to natural phenomena associated with human actions
Evaporation/condensation	13.11, 14.11	90	Atmospheric transport phenomena ("evaporation"); NOT condensation
Precipitation	13.11	90	Atmospheric transport phenomena ("precipitation")
External boundary conditions - sea current&6.11		90	NOT included explicitly; Surface waters (fresh and marine)

Table A-3 (continued): Comparison of SKB FEPs with SKI FEPs (and Influences): Biosphere

BIOSPHERE FEPs SKB Report FEP Name	<==== RES MATRIX =====>	SKB Report R-01-13 on Page	SSI Report FEP Title
OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS			
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR WATER COMPOSITION (12.12)			
Boundary condition - mass flux	Treated Possibly NOT		Presumably similar boundary condition for assessment
Re-suspension	12.1	90	
Uptake/excretion	2.12	90	
Particle production and trapping	3.12, 4.12	91	
Mixing	3.12, 4.12	91	
Property changes	10.12	91	
External boundary conditions - import	14.12	91	
	16.12	91	
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR GAS - ATMOSPHERE (13.13)			
Boundary condition - gas transport	1.13	91	GAS TRANSPORT AND EXPOSURE PATHWAY CONSIDERED SEPARATELY
Re-suspension	2.13	92	NOT mentioned explicitly; presumably gas transport - geosphere-biosphere interface
Particle production - trapping	3.13, 4.13,	92	Exposure modes
External boundary conditions - import	6.13, 7.13	92	NOT mentioned explicitly; Exposure modes; presumably assumption incorporated in modelling
	13.16	92	NOT mentioned explicitly, but Solid-phase transport phenomena ("coastal erosion")
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR TEMPERATURE (14.14)			
Heat storage	11.14	92	NOT included; closest is Water-borne transport processes
Heat convection	2.14,10.14,	92	NOT included; closest is Water-borne transport processes
Heat transport	13.14	92	NOT included; closest is Water-borne transport processes
Light absorption	1.14 to 10.14,	92	NOT included
External boundaries - import of heat	13.14	92	NOT included
		92	NOT included
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR RADIONUCLIDES AND TOXICANTS (15.15)			
Boundary condition - release from the	1.15	93	Geosphere-biosphere interface boundary condition
Sorption/desorption	2.15, 12.15	93	Sorption/desorption processes
Sorption/uptake and excretion	3.15 to 8.15	93	Foodchain transfer; Drinking water and foodstuffs
Degradation	3.15 to 8.15	93	NOT mentioned explicitly; Chemical/organic toxin stability
Growth	3.15 to 8.15	93	Not included explicitly;
Mixing	10.15, 11.15,	94	Not mentioned explicitly; presumably included as part of Water-borne transport phenomena;
	14.12	94	Artificial mixing of water bodies
Phase transitions	14.15	94	Not mentioned explicitly; Volatility and volatilisation
Decay	15.15	94	Radionuclide decay and ingrowth
External boundaries - export	15.16	94	Not included explicitly; Sinks included in modelling

Table A-4: Comparison of SKI FEPs with SKB FEPs

SKI FEP TITLE [Appendix C SKI 01:30]	SKI FEP	SKB Reference page(s)	COMMENT
WASTE PACKAGE			
Colloid generation	Water composition	Section 4.2.7, p. 52	Waste package = 1.1 through 5.5 in SKB's RES matrix
Degradation of inorganic waste	Chemical and microbial degradation	Heading, p. 43	
Degradation of metal waste	Corrosion of metals	Heading, p. 44	
Degradation of organic waste	Chemical and microbial degradation	Heading, p. 43	
	Degradation of organics	Heading, p. 53	
Diffusion through and in	Diffusion, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 63	
Evolving properties of bitumen matrix	{ Expansion/contraction of the waste; Recrystallisation	Headings, p. 42	Three considered together
Evolving properties of cement matrix	{ + Water uptake;	Heading, p. 43	Three considered together
Evolving properties of container	{ + Cracking	Heading, p. 44	Three considered together
Evolving radionuclide inventory	{ Waste inventory; treated as Boundary condition Figure 5-1		
Gas flow and transport	Gas, 12.12; Gas flow + Advection of radioactive materials	Headings, pp. 58, 64	
Gas generation	Gas generation through degradation of organic materials	Heading, p. 57	
	Gas generation through metal corrosion	Heading, p. 57	
	Gas generation through radiolysis	Heading, p. 58	
Mechanical stress distribution	Mechanics - stress conditions, 14.14	Section 4.2.11, p. 60	SKB FEP covers Water pressure and Gas pressure
Microbial activity	Microbial activity/growth	Headings, pp. 45, 47	
Precipitation/dissolution	Dissolution/precipitation	Headings, pp. 44, 46, 62	
Radioactive decay in waste	Radioactive decay, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 65	
Radioactive decay and ingrowth	Radioactive decay, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 65	
Radiolysis	Irradiation	Heading, p. 45	SILLO ONLY
Release from I/LLW	Advection and Dispersion, Radionuclides and toxicants	Headings, pp. 62, 63	
Resaturation/saturation state	Water uptake	Heading, p. 43	
Sorption	Sorption, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 63	
Temperature	Temperature, 13.13	Section 4.2.10	
Transport and release of nuclides	Advection and Dispersion, Radionuclides and toxicants	Headings, pp. 62, 63	SKB address heat-emitting aspects of waste
Water chemistry	Water composition	Section 4.2.7, p. 52	
Water movement in and through	Hydrology, 11.11	Section 4.2.8, p. 56	
MORTAR			
Colloid generation and transport	Water composition + Colloid transport and filtration	Section 4.2.7, p. 52; p. 55	Mortar = 6.6 (Concrete backfill) in SKB's RES matrix
Degradation/alteration	Recrystallisation, Dissolution/precipitation; Headings, p. 46		
	Cracking/deformation	Heading, p. 47	
Degradation of cell walls	Recrystallisation, Dissolution/precipitation; Headings, p. 46		
	Cracking/deformation	Heading, p. 47	
Diffusion in and through	Diffusion, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 63	
Gas flow and transport	Gas, 12.12; Gas flow + Advection of radioactive materials	Headings, pp. 58, 64	
Gas generation	Gas generation through radiolysis	Heading, p. 58	
Mechanical stress distribution	Mechanics - stress conditions, 14.14	Section 4.2.11, p. 60	
Microbial activity	Microbial growth	Heading, p. 48	
Precipitation/dissolution	Dissolution/precipitation	Heading, p. 48	
Properties of mortar	NOT SPECIFIC; Concrete backfill, 6.6	Table 4-1	
Radioactive decay and ingrowth	Radioactive decay, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 65	
Redox front/heterogeneity	Redox, Water composition	Table 4-1	
Resaturation/saturation state	Hydrology (Degree of saturation)	Table 4-1	
Sorption	Sorption, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 63	
Temperature	Temperature, 13.13	Section 4.2.10, p. 59	
Transport and release of nuclides	Advection and Dispersion, Radionuclides and toxicants	Headings, pp. 62, 63	SKB address heat-emitting aspects of waste
Water chemistry	Water composition	Section 4.2.7, p. 52	
Water movement in and through	Hydrology, 11.11	Section 4.2.8, p. 56	

Table A-4 (continued): Comparison of SKI FEPs with SKB FEPs

SKI FEP TITLE [Appendix C SKI 01:30]	SKB FEP	Reference page (B)	COMMENT
SHELL (SILO) or CONCRETE SHELL	CONCRETE STRUCTURES, 7.7		Shell = 7.7 (Concrete structures) in SKB's RES matrix
Colloid generation and transport	Water composition + Colloid transport and filtration	Section 4.2.7, p. 52; p. 55	
Degradation/alteration	Recrystallisation; Dissolution/precipitation	Heading, p. 47	
Degradation of steel reinforcement	Corrosion	Heading, p. 47	SILLO ONLY
Diffusion in and through	Diffusion, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 63	
Gas flow and transport	Gas, 12.12; Gas flow + Advection of radioactive	Headings, pp. 58, 64	
Gas generation	Gas generation through metal corrosion	Heading, p. 57	SILLO ONLY??
Mechanical stress distribution	Mechanics - stress conditions, 14.14	Section 4.2.11, p. 60	
Microbial activity	Microbial growth	Heading, p. 48	
Precipitation/dissolution	Dissolution/precipitation	Heading, p. 48	
Properties of shell	NOT SPECIFIC; Concrete backfill, 6.6	Table 4-1	
Radioactive decay and ingrowth	Radioactive decay, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 65	
Redox front/heterogeneity	Redox, Water composition	Table 4-1	
Resaturation/saturation state	Resaturation (Degree of saturation)	Table 4-1	
Sorption	Sorption, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 63	
Temperature	Temperature, 13.13	Section 4.2.10, p. 59	
Transport and release of nuclides	Advection and dispersion, Radionuclides and toxicants	Headings, pp. 62, 63	SKB address heat-emitting aspects of waste
Water chemistry	Water composition	Section 4.2.7, p. 52	
Water movement in and through	Hydrology, 11.11	Section 4.2.8, p. 56	
BACKFILL (Bentonite; Sand-bentonite)	BENTONITE BARRIERS, 8.8		SILLO ONLY; Backfill = 8.8 (Bentonite barriers)
Bentonite swelling	Bentonite expansion and contraction	Heading, p. 48	
Colloid generation and transport	Water composition + Colloid transport and filtration	Section 4.2.7, p. 52; p. 55 and 9.9 (Vaults and backfill) in SKB's RES matrix	
Degradation/alteration	Montmorillonite transformation; Ion exchange	Headings, pp. 49, 50	
Diffusion in and through	Diffusion, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 63	
Filtration	Colloid filtering	RES Matrix, 8.10, 8.16	SILLO ONLY
Gas flow and transport	Gas, 12.12; Gas flow + Advection of radioactive	Headings, p. 58, 64	
Gas generation	NOT SPECIFIC; Gas, 12.12	Section 4.2.9, p. 57	??
Mechanical stress distribution	Mechanics - stress conditions, 14.14	Section 4.2.11, p. 60	
Microbial activity	Microbial growth	Heading, p. 51	
Precipitation/dissolution	Dissolution/precipitation	Heading, p. 50	
Properties of backfill	NOT SPECIFIC; Bentonite barriers, 8.8	Table 4-1	
Radioactive decay and ingrowth	Radioactive decay, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 65	
Redox front/heterogeneity	Redox, Water composition	Table 4-1	
Resaturation/saturation state	Water uptake	Heading, p. 49	
Sorption	Sorption, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 63	
Temperature	Temperature, 13.13	Section 4.2.10, p. 59	
Transport and release of nuclides	Advection and dispersion, Radionuclides and toxicants	Headings, pp. 62, 63	SKB address heat-emitting aspects of waste
Water chemistry	Water composition	Section 4.2.7, p. 52	
Water movement in and through	Hydrology, 11.11	Section 4.2.8, p. 56	

Table A-4 (continued): Comparison of SKI FEPs with SKB FEPs

SKI FEP TITLE [Appendix C SKI 01:30]	SKB FEP	SKB Reference page(s)	COMMENT
NEAR-FIELD ROCK			
Alteration/weathering of flowpaths	Rock fallout/distribution	Heading, p. 48	Near-field rock = 7.7, 8.8 (Repository rock)
Cave-in	Colloid formation and transport	Heading, p. 71	
Colloid generation and transport	Degradation of rock reinforcement	Heading, p. 74	
Degradation of rock reinforcement and grout	Diffusion and matrix diffusion, Radionuclides, toxicants	Heading, p. 75	
Diffusion	Advection/dispersion	Heading, p. 75	
Dispersion	Gas permeability; Gas flow and saturation	Headings, pp. 73, 72	Subsequently renamed "Bulk gas, near-field rock"
Excavation effects	NOT CONSIDERED		
Gas flow and transport	Advection/dispersion	Heading, p. 75	
Gas generation	Diffusion and matrix diffusion, Radionuclides, toxicants	Heading, p. 75	
Groundwater flow	Microbial growth	Heading, p. 70	
Matrix diffusion	Dissolution/precipitation	Headings, pp. 69, 70	
Microbial activity	Rock matrix and rock fractures	Section 4.3.4, p. 69	
Properties of	Properties affecting deformation and stability	Heading, p. 74	
Precipitation/dissolution	Radioactive decay, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 77	
Radioactive decay and ingrowth	Redox, Groundwater composition	Table 4-2	
Redox front/heterogeneity	Hydrology (Degree of saturation)	Table 4-2	
Resaturation/saturation state	Cracking of concrete in plugs, Corrosion,	Headings, p. 69	
Shaft and tunnel seal degradation	Dissolution/precipitation	Heading, p. 69	
Sorption	Sorption, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 76	
Stress field	Rock mechanics; Stress conditions, 14.14	Section 4.3.8, p. 7; Table 4-2	
Temperature	Temperature, 13.13	Table 4-2	
Transport and release of nuclides	Advection/dispersion, Radionuclides, toxicants	Heading, p. 75	
Water chemistry	Groundwater composition	Section 4.3.5, p. 70	
FAR-FIELD (= GEOSPHERE)			
Alteration/weathering of flowpaths	NOT EXPLICIT; Dissolution/precipitation	Heading, p. 69	
Colloid generation and transport	Colloid formation and transport	Heading, p. 71	
Diffusion	Diffusion; Diffusion and matrix diffusion, Radionuclides, toxicants	Headings, p. 71; p. 75	
Dispersion	Advection/dispersion	Heading, p. 75	
Fault movement/activation	NOT INCLUDED; But Stress conditions, 14.14	Table 4-2	Subsequently renamed "Rock movement/collapse"
Gas flow and transport	Gas	Section 4.3.7, p. 73	Subsequently renamed "Bulk gas, near-field rock"
Gas generation	NOT INCLUDED		
Gas pressure	Gas pressure	Heading, p. 73	
Geometry and driving force for flow	Driving forces and salinity	Heading, p. 72	
Groundwater chemistry	Groundwater composition	Section 4.3.5, p. 70	
Groundwater flow	Advection/dispersion	Heading, p. 75	
Hydraulic saturation/unsaturation	Hydrology (Degree of saturation)	Table 4-2	
Matrix diffusion	Diffusion and matrix diffusion, Radionuclides, toxicants	Heading, p. 75	
Microbial activity	Microbial growth	Heading, p. 70	
Precipitation/dissolution	Dissolution/precipitation	Headings, pp. 69, 70	
Properties	Rock matrix and rock fractures	Section 4.3.4, p. 69	
Radioactive decay and ingrowth	Radioactive decay, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 77	
Redox front/heterogeneity	Redox, Groundwater composition	Table 4-2	
Saline (or fresh) water intrusion	Driving forces and salinity	Heading, p. 72	
Seismic activity	NOT INCLUDED		External FEP in SPARTA PID
Sorption	Sorption, Radionuclides and toxicants	Heading, p. 76	
Stress field (in situ)	Rock mechanics; Stress conditions, 14.14	Section 4.3.8, p. 7; Table 4-2	
Thermal field	Temperature, 13.13	Table 4-2	
Transport and release of nuclides	Advection/dispersion, Radionuclides, toxicants	Heading, p. 75	
Uplift/subsidence	NOT INCLUDED		External FEP in SPARTA PID

Table A-5: Review of SKB RES Matrix FEPs with SKB's Information Flow Diagram: Near-field (Figure 5-2, SKB Report R-01-13)

SKB NEAR-FIELD FEPs FEP Name OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS	RES MATRIX Treated Possibly	FIGURE 5-2	ADDITIONAL INPUT FROM SKB REPORT
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR DIFFERENT WASTE FORMS			
Recrystallisation/mineralisation	1.1	Alteration of waste and barriers, Material composition, porosity; Solubility, sorption	May change internal physical structure of cement (SA - sorption, diffusion) Effects not specifically addressed in quantitative analysis; should be covered by pessimistic selection of Kd and De values Takes into account volume-expanding corrosion products Process discarded from further analysis
Expansion/contraction of waste	1.14, 2.14 4.3, 5.3	Alteration of waste and barriers Dimensions, Geometries	
Water uptake (Water flow, capillary)	3.11 10.3	Time for resaturation, silo; Alteration of waste and barriers Dimensions, Geometries	Effects on Fe corrosion products not considered for bitumenised waste form; results considered - REF
Chemical and microbial degradation	1.10, 2.10, 3.10 10.3	Rate and yield for degradation products Gas generation and transport within repository Evolution of repository water	Impacts matrix properties; quantity of organic additives deemed too small to impact sorption; porosity effects covered by pessimistic Kd/De values Microbe effects on matrix deemed insignificant relative to water uptake May cause gas generation and generate complexing agents Impacts gas generation; volume increase in matrix (=> porosity, cracking); sorption on Fe corrosion products not considered Cement phases; may cause volume increase in matrix (=> porosity/cracking)
Corrosion of metals	1.12, 3.12 10.3	Material composition, porosity; Solubility, sorption Corrosion rates, Amounts and dimensions of gas generating materials	Bitumen matrix; effects deemed small relative to water uptake Effects geometry, porosity, fracture apertures; not analysed in detail; indirectly accounted for in selection of data and calculational cases Changes in stress conditions; included in physical property changes from water uptake => biofilms; affect porosity; effects ignored because conditions considered unfavourable for microbes Radiation levels considered insignificant
Dissolution/precipitation	1.10, 2.10, 3.10 10.3	Alteration of waste and barriers; Dimensions, geometries Voids, porosity	
Cracking	14.1, 14.2	NOT CONSIDERED EXPLICITLY Selection of porosity values NOT CONSIDERED	
Microbial activity/growth	1.15, 2.15, 3.15 5.1, 15.2, 15.3		
Irradiation	16.1, 16.2, 16.3		
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR CONCRETE AND STEEL PACKAGING			
Recrystallisation/mineralisation	4.4	Alteration of waste and barriers, Material composition, porosity; Solubility, sorption	May change internal physical structure of concrete (SA - sorption, diffusion) Effects not specifically addressed in quantitative analysis; should be covered by pessimistic selection of Kd and De values
Expansion/contraction of packaging	4.14, 5.14 4.9, 5.9	Alteration of waste and barriers, Dimensions, geometries	
Water uptake (Water flow, capillary)	4.12, 5.12	Time for resaturation, silo; Alteration of waste and barriers Alteration of waste and barriers, Dimensions, geometries	Rebar - volume increase in concrete matrix (=> porosity, cracking); change in hydraulic properties with time; GAS EFFECTS NOT MENTIONED Effects of corrosion of steel packaging not specifically considered Changes in stress conditions (con) not directly analysed; indirectly via data No credit taken for steel packaging; SAFE assumption - packaging Possible effects ignored because conditions considered unfavourable
Corrosion			
Cracking/deformation	14.4	Alteration of waste and barriers, Dimensions, geometries	
Microbial growth	4.15, 15.4, 15.5	NOT CONSIDERED	

Table A-5 (continued): Review of SKB RES Matrix FEPs with SKB's Information Flow Diagram: Near-field (Figure 5-2, SKB Report R-01-13)

SKB NEAR-FIELD FEPs FEP Name OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS	RES MATRIX Treated Possibly	FIGURE 5-2	ADDITIONAL INPUT FROM SKB REPORT
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) Recrystallisation/mineralisation Expansion/contraction Water uptake (Water flow, capillary suction) Corrosion Dissolution/precipitation Cracking Rock fallout/redistribution Microbial growth	6.6, 7.7 6.14, 6.15 7.11 6.10, 7.10 14.6, 14.7 14.7 6.15, 7.15 15.6, 15.7	Alteration of waste and barriers; Material composition, porosity; Geometries, dimensions, geometries Time for resaturation, silo; Alteration of waste and barriers Alteration of waste and barriers; Hydraulic conductivity distribution Alteration of waste and barriers; Material composition, porosity; Geometries, dimensions, geometries NOT CONSIDERED IN QUANTITATIVE ANALYSES NOT CONSIDERED	pessimistic selection of ka and de data Effects covered by assuming change in hydraulic properties with time Dimensions and porosity of concrete, via H ₂ O composition In absence of backfill; affects concrete structures; impacts not specifically considered in quantitative analyses Microbial activity deemed low; potential impacts ignored
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) Bentonite expansion and contraction Water uptake (Water flow, capillary suction) Montmorillonite transformation Dissolution/precipitation Ion exchange Dispersion of clay particles Microbial growth Colloid filtering	FOR BENTONITE BARRIERS 9.8 8.14 10.8 8.10, 10.8 8.10, 10.8 18.8 8.15 8.16	Alteration of waste and barriers; Dimensions, geometries, geometries; Vault Time for resaturation, silo; Vault hydrology NOT ANALYSED IN DETAIL Alteration of waste and barriers; Hydraulic conductivity distribution Alteration of waste and barriers; Material composition, porosity; Geometries, dimensions, geometries Alteration of waste and barriers; Material composition, porosity; Geometries, dimensions, geometries NOT CONSIDERED IN SHORT-TERM NOT CONSIDERED NOT CONSIDERED? (Water phase transport of RN within repository)	depends on volume change of concrete which is small Bentonite expansion discussed in SR97; effects considered to be small; impact indirectly considered as calculational case (less effective bentonite) Affects performance of bentonite barrier; discussed generally in SR97 Water uptake not analysed in detail within SAFE; simplified treatment included in estimate of resaturation time; Silo design can withstand uneven swelling P Impacts on impact of alkaline porewaters; indirectly treated by analysing consequences of deteriorating hydraulic properties Impacts on bentonite performance; small changes expected; indirectly treated by analysing consequences of deteriorating hydraulic properties Effects discussed generally in SR97 and in analysis of de Requires low ionic strength of water (SR97); not considered important in short-term perspective (saline groundwater); covered by calculational cases Conditions unfavourable; not considered further
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) Expansion/contraction Redistribution of backfill Bentonite intrusion Dissolution/precipitation Microbial growth	FOR VAULTS AND BACKFILL (INCLUDES SAND LAYER ABOVE CONCRETE LID, SILO, AND GAS VENTS) 14.8 8.9 9.15, 15.9	Alteration of waste and barriers; Dimensions, geometries, geometries; Vault (conservatively ignored?) EFFECT IGNORED CONSIDERED INDIRECTLY (Material composition porosity) IGNORED	Processes leading to such expansion/contraction judged to be negligible Creation of permeable zones during saturation phase; smaller fraction of groundwater through the fill could lead to reduction in radionuclide release May affect thin sand layer in silo (above lid); possible effect ignored Possible changes in porosity/sorptive properties; not analysed specifically but potential effects are considered via selection of data Stagnant hydraulic conditions; if any activity, could reduce porosity; ignored

Table A-5 (continued): Review of SKB RES Matrix FEPs with SKB's Information Flow Diagram (Figure 5-2, SKB Report R-01-13)

SKB NEAR-FIELD FEPs FEP Name OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS	RES MATRIX Treated Possibly	FIGURE 5-2	ADDITIONAL INPUT FROM SKB REPORT
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) Dissolution/precipitation	10.16, 10.1, 10.10, 10.3 10.4, 10.5, 10.6 10.7, 10.8, 10.9	Evolution of repository water Complexing agents	Dissolution and leaching of cementitious phases => changes in pH and ionic strength Generation of complexation agents; results of inventory study used
Degradation of organics	10.12, 10.16	Complexing agents	Salts from evaporator concentrates (bitumenised wastes); not specifically addressed in SAFE; considered indirectly in selection of calculational cases and data
Corrosion	10.12	NOT INCLUDED EXPLICITLY; Evolution of repository water	Possible alteration of bentonite barriers; dispersion of high pH not specifically addressed within SAFE; selection of data and calculational cases
Sorption	10.16	Complexing agents; Selection of migration data; Solubility, sorption	Complexation with radionuclides (ISA); formation of colloids
Diffusion	10.16	NO EVIDENCE OF CONSIDERATION IN FIGURE 5-2	Metal corrosion affects redox conditions; possible galvanic corrosion (SFL3-5) Within SAFE, assumption that corrosion contributes to anaerobic conditions
Advection and mixing	10.11	Flow within and between vaults; Evolution of repository water	Processes could be important for complexing agents such as ISA
Erosion/colloid formation/colloid transport	10.10	COLLOIDS IGNORED FOR SILO, BMA, BTF INDIRECTLY CONSIDERED (DATA SELECTION; Sorption data)	Taken into consideration by careful selection of calculational cases and data Ion exchange could affect montmorillonite's ability to buffer pH; studied as part of chemical alteration of barriers
Microbial activity	10.15, 15.10	NO EVIDENCE OF CONSIDERATION IN FIGURE 5-2	Considered when evaluating future water composition and alteration of concrete barriers
Gas dissolution/degassing	10.12	IGNORERD	Evolution of water composition due to advective flow studied; results of this and change to fresh groundwater discussed in selection of data/calculational cases
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) Two phase flow and saturation	11.12	Water expulsion; Water phase transport of RN within repository Flow within and between vaults NOT CONSIDERED	Mechanical erosion => colloids (considered for SFL3-5); bentonite => clay particles Filtering of colloids (considered for SFL3-5); colloids attached to gas bubbles Colloids ignored for Silo, BMA and BTF (due to filtering effects of barriers)
Osmosis	10.11	NO EVIDENCE OF CONSIDERATION IN FIGURE 5-2	Colloids treated indirectly via selection of calculation cases Assessed to be low; no quantitative analysis; reducing conditions assumption OK Process not quantified; indirectly considered in selection of data/cases
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) Gas generation through degradation of organic material	1.12, 3.12, 2.12	Rate and yield for degradation of organics Amounts and dimensions of gas generating materials	Important for saturation phase - timing; impact neglected; impact of gas pressure build-up on expulsion of contaminated water is considered May affect saturation time; does not appear to be explicitly covered; uptake of water in bitumenised waste is studied
Gas generation through metal corrosion	1.2, 3.12, 5.12	Corrosion rates Amounts and dimensions of gas generating materials NOT INCLUDED	Alkaline hydrolysis of cellulose => gas; microbial degradation of IEX, but considered slow; other sources negligible Gas generation is considered
Gas generation through radiolysis	16.12	Gas flux (t) from different release points	Considered in past SFR assessments, also SFL3-5; for SAFE. Gas formation is estimated as basis for analysis of pressure build-up and water expulsion Calculations indicate quantities of gas negligible; however radiolysis of bitumen may result in pressure build-up and cracking of matrix; considered in study of properties of bitumenised matrix
Gas flow	1.12, 3.12, 4.12, 5.12, 6.12, 7.12, 8.12, 9.12	Alteration of waste and barriers	Dependent on uptake of water, size of voids, dimensions and properties of barriers; also degree of saturation, which is relatively fast
Expansion/contraction			Considered in analysis of gas generation and escape

Table A-5 (continued): Review of SKB RES Matrix FEPs with SKB's Information Flow Diagram: Near-field (Figure 5-2, SKB Report R-01-13)

SKB NEAR-FIELD FEPs FEP Name OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS	RES MATRIX Treated Possibly	FIGURE 5-2	ADDITIONAL INPUT FROM SKB REPORT
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) Heat-generating reactions Heat conduction	16.13 Column 13	NOT INCLUDED NOT INCLUDED	Only corrosion of aluminium considered important; effect on T studied Depends on degree of water saturation and thermal properties of barriers
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) Expansion/contraction Water pressure Gas pressure	FOR MECHANICS - STRESS CONDITIONS, 14.14 Column 14 12.14	Alteration of waste and barriers; dimensions, geometries NOT INCLUDED Pressure build-up	NOT considered
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) Microbial activity Advection	FOR BIOLOGICAL STATE, 15.15 Column 15 11.15	IGNORED (other than Rate and yield of degradation of organics) NOT CONSIDERED	
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) Dissolution/precipitation Degradation of organic matter Advection Dispersion Diffusion Sorption Colloid transport and filtering Advection of radioactive gas Methylation/transformation Radioactive decay	FOR RADIONUCLIDES AND TOXICANTS, 16.16 1.16, 2.16 3.16, 10.16 1.16, 2.16 3.16, 10.16 11.16 11.16 11.16 11.16 9.16 12.16 15.16 16.16	Solubility data Generation of RN in gaseous phase; Complexing agents Water phase transport of RN within repository Water phase transport of RN within repository Diffusivity data Sorption data NOT CONSIDERED? (Water phase transport of RN within repository Rate of generation of RN in gas phase; Gas generation and transport within repository Generation of RN in gaseous phase RN decay constants	

Table A-6: Review of SKB RES Matrix FEPs with SKB's Information Flow Diagram (Figure 5-3, SKB Report R-01-13)

SKB GEOSPHERE FEPs FEP Name OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS	RES MATRIX Treated Possibly	INTERACTION FLOW DIAGRAM FIGURE 5-3	ADDITIONAL INPUT FROM SKB REPORT R-01-13
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR ACCESS TUNNELS AND BOREHOLES (INCLUDING BACKFILL, SHOTCRETE AND ROCK BOLTS) Dissolution/precipitation Ionic strength effects Redistribution of particles in flowing water Microbial activity	5, 10, 11.5 10.5 10.5 5, 15, 15.5	EFFECTS CONSIDERED SMALL; IGNORED NOT CONSIDERED NOT CONSIDERED	Considered to have negligible effect on function of shotcrete and backfill; for boreholes, effect is more uncertain; overall, any impacts are neglected Primarily bentonite may be affected; resultant changes in properties are considered small enough to be ignored Flow rates expected to be too small for this to be important; discarded Could affect composition and porosity of materials; any changes expected to be small, however; influence not considered in SAFE
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR PLUGS (BENTONITE/CONCRETE); NOTE - FINAL SELECTION OF MATERIALS TO BE MADE! Water uptake in bentonite Bentonite expansion/dispersion Recrystallisation Cracking of concrete in plugs Corrosion (of reinforcement) Dissolution/precipitation Ion exchange and sorption Microbial activity	6.11 5.6, 6.14, 8.6 6.6 14.6 6.12, 10.6, 11.6 6.10, 10.6, 11.6 6.10, 10.6 15.6	Time for resaturation, silo (Fig. 5-2) Plugs - dimensions, conditions Plugs - conditions Plugs - conditions Plugs - conditions NOT CONSIDERED	Nature of water effects on saturation time and resultant properties May affect density and homogeneity of bentonite Cementitious phases; long-term properties may be affected Potential damage due to swelling pressure of bentonite May cause volume changes and concrete properties Changes in primary minerals may affect properties Primarily important for bentonite; possible changes in properties May affect composition and porosity; also surfaces of materials
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR ROCK MATRIX AND FRACTURES Dissolution/precipitation Redistribution of stress Microbial growth	7, 10, 8, 10.9, 10 10.7, 10.8, 10.9 7.14, 8.14 15.7, 15.8, 15.9 14.7, 14.8, 14.9	Mineralogy, matrix porosity; Hydraulic conductivity - fracture NOT EVALUATED IGNORED	Mineral composition of fracture surfaces may be affected; secondary minerals in fractures and pores may affect porosity. Effects of alkaline plume may change mineralogy of rock; possible reduction in permeability (more conservative) Hydro-mechanical interaction may affect fracture apertures and rock porosity Effects considered too small to be significant; no quantitative evaluation Biofilms will affect rock surfaces, enhance mineral changes; change porosity; effects considered insignificant; discarded
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR GROUNDWATER COMPOSITION (INCLUDING DISSOLVED GAS, COLLOIDS AND PARTICLES) Dissolution/precipitation Diffusion Advection/dispersion Colloid formation and transport Gas dissolution/degassing Microbial degradation Boundary conditions	[5 to 9], 10 10. [6 to 9] 10.16 [5 to 9], 10 11.1 10.10, 10.16 10.12, 12.10 10.15, 15.10	Evolution of geosphere water; Selection of migration data; Selection of migration data; diffusivity Fresh water/saline water interface; Evolution of geosphere ASSUMPTION; no RN retention in geosphere NOT EXPLICIT IGNORED	Groundwater interactions generally slow; transient effect (buffering of pH, and dissolution of silica) can generate colloids; not specifically analysed in SAFE; covered indirectly by selection of calculational cases and data Geometry, porosity and connectivity of backfill, plugs etc. can affect diffusion; not quantitatively analysed within SAFE Impact of advection on groundwater composition is considered in estimating time for saline-fresh water interface to reach repository Generally discussed in SR97; groundwaters at Forsmark expected to have low [Ca] and therefore low colloid concentration; colloids considered by assuming no radionuclide retention in geosphere Naturally dissolved gases considered indirectly in groundwater composition Will affect water composition; ensure reducing conditions; no detrimental effects Interaction of different waters (vaults, external boundaries) will affect water composition; interactions considered via selection of geosphere water composition

Table A-6 (continued): Review of SKB RES Matrix FEPs with SKB's Information Flow Diagram (Figure 5-3, SKB Report R-01-13)

SKB GEOSPHERE FEPs FEP Name OFF-DIAGONAL ELEMENTS	RES MATRIX Treated	Possibly	INTERACTION FLOW DIAGRAM FIGURE 5-3	ADDITIONAL INPUT FROM SKB REPORT R-01-13
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR HYDROLOGY Rock permeability and its distribution Driving forces and salinity	Not explicit 11.10	Hydraulic conductivity (fractures, rock); Local hydrology DENSITY-DEPENDENT FLOW NEGLECTED		Pararcy's law, permeability distribution considered in updated HG modelling Salinity/density gradients; fluid density (buoyancy effects); scoping calculations indicates density-dependent flow can be neglected
Gas flow and saturation Boundary conditions	11.12	TWO-PHASE FLOW DISCARDED		Two-phase flow aspects for saturation process is discarded in SAFE; saturation time approximated by time resulting from "saturated" analysis [?] Hydraulic head gradient originates from boundaries; rock/biosphere boundary important (Sea level, infiltration, recharge/discharge, wells); detailed hydraulic analysis.
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR GAS (DISTRIBUTION AND MOVEMENT) ; INCLUDING NATURALLY OCCURRING RADIONUCLIDES Gas permeability Gas pressure Water pressure Boundary conditions	8.12, 9.12 12.11 8.12, 9.12	Geometry: locations, fractures; Gas transport in far field NO EVIDENCE OF CONSIDERATION IN FIGURE 5-3 NO EVIDENCE OF CONSIDERATION IN FIGURE 5-3		Significant for crystalline rock; scoping calculations indicate fast gas flow Gas pressure gradients one of the main driving forces for gas flow Pressure and pressure gradients can affect gas distribution; impact considered of minor importance; effect on gas solubility also of minor importance Transport and release of gas from different sections of repository
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR ROCK MECHANICS Properties affecting deformation and stability Degradation of rock reinforcement Boundary conditions	7.14, 8.14	Mineralogy, matrix porosity NOT EXPLICIT IN FIG. 5-3; Local hydrology (pathlines,		(rock type, mineralogy, microstructure); initial rock strength good Effects of bentonite/cement plugs not addressed (final design not available) RBM affect rock strength; effects on rock fall in vaults assessed Changes in stress and strain at repository-geosphere boundary will have effect
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR BIOLOGICAL STATE Microbial activity Boundary conditions	Column 15	IGNORED		Organic carbon in geosphere less than in repository; no detrimental effects apart from (possibly) methylation/transformation Biomass from vaults; exchange of biomass between biosphere and geosphere by recharging/discharging water
IMPORTANT INTERACTIONS (PROCESSES) FOR RADIONUCLIDES AND TOXICANTS (SOLID, LIQUID AND GAS PHASES) Advection/dispersion Diffusion and matrix diffusion Sorption Dissolution/precipitation Transport with gas Transport with colloids or microbes Methylation/transformation Radioactive decay Boundary conditions	11.16 7.16, 8.16, 9.16 5.16, 7.16, 8.16 9.16 10.16 12.16 10.16 15.16 16.16	Water phase transport of RN within far field Matrix porosity; Selection of migration data (matrix diffusion) Mineralogy; Selection of migration data (sorption) NOT EXPLICIT IN FIG. 5-3; no far-field solubility data Gas transport in far field (RN not explicit) NOT EXPLICIT; Water phase transport of RN within far field NOT EXPLICIT IN FIG. 5-3; Gas flux from different releases NOT EXPLICIT IN FIG. 5-3		Groundwater flow characteristics used as input to selection of cases/data (F-parameter) Impact of mineralogy and water composition reflected in selection of cases/data Precipitation of RN or toxicants not expected because of low concentrations; Co-precipitation with calcite + Fe hydroxides could retard transport Main transport mechanism for transport of gaseous radionuclides Colloidal material expected to be low (low [Ca]); microbe transport considered not to be significant enough; fast transport IS considered however, via cases/data Effect mobility of certain radionuclides (C14); selection of cases/data will affect type and amount and distribution of radionuclides Release from other parts of the repository will have an effect; source term

